Art Unit: 2617

Response under 37 CFR §1.116

Attorney Docket No.: 042089

REMARKS

Claims 13-19 are pending in the application. It is respectfully submitted that this paper is fully responsive to the Office Action dated April 15, 2008.

As to the Merits:

As to the merits of this case, the Examiner maintains the following rejections:

claim 13 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Li; and

claims 14-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in

view of Dorenbosch et al. (of record).

Each of these rejections is respectfully traversed.

In the response filed on February 7, 2008, Applicant argued that the Li reference fails to disclose an instant message IM server. The Examiner disagrees and asserts in item 3 of pages 2 and 3 of the Action that:

Li teaches the "dedicated server device 106" can be the "central authority" for assigning universally unique identifiers for each user in the network (Page 3 [0032 & 0034]) and "a request message to the new buddy user can be stored by server device 106 and provided to the buddy user when he/she comes online again". ...

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the "User Interface Layer 302" would be implemented on the peer computer (Fig. 2A [102] and Pages 6-7 [0083-0088]), but that it is a design choice as to whether Function Logic Layer and P2P Network Layer (Fig. 3 [304 & 306] are implemented in the dedicated server device (Fig. 2A [106] & Pages 7 [0090]) or in the peer computer (Page 6 [0076]), as both options are taught by Li.

Art Unit: 2617

Response under 37 CFR §1.116

Attorney Docket No.: 042089

However, it appears that the Examiner has failed to appreciate that claim 13 requires that

the instant message received from the terminal of the forwarder is stored in the communication

device when the user of the forwarding destination is not logged in the instant message server.

In other words, while Li may disclose that a request message to the new buddy user can

be stored by server device 106 and provided to the buddy user when he/she comes online again,

in claim 13 the instant message is not stored in the instant message server, but instead is stored in

the communication device.

For example, as shown in Fig. 1 of the present application, the first client terminal 16A

and the second client terminal 16B are client terminals that log into the IM server 14 to use the

IM service. The communication device 100 also includes a function as a client terminal of the

IM server 14. In addition, the communication device 100 includes a function for temporarily

storing the instant message, and when a transmission destination logs in, transmitting the instant

message.

That is, independent claim 13 includes a terminal of a forwarder, i.e., first client terminal

16A, a terminal of a forwarding destination, i.e., second client terminal 16B, an instant message

server, i.e., IM server 14, and a communication device, i.e., communication device server 100,

which are all shown, for example, in Figure 1 of the present application.

- 3 -

Art Unit: 2617

Response under 37 CFR §1.116

Attorney Docket No.: 042089

However, while Li clearly discloses that an optional dedicated server device 106 may be

connected in the peer to peer network, as shown in Figure 2A, it is respectfully submitted that

such server device 106 fails to constitute an instant message server, and instead corresponds to

the communication device server 100 and not the IM server 14, for example, as shown in Figure

1 of the present application. That is, as noted by the Examiner, Li discloses that "a request

message to the new buddy user can be stored by server device 106 and provided to the buddy

user when he/she comes online again," which is the same function performed by the

communication device in claim 13.

For example, claim 13 calls for transmitting an instant message including identification

information of the terminal of the forwarding destination from the terminal of the forwarder to a

communication device when the user of the forwarding destination is not logged in the instant

message serve, ...

detecting by the communication device, whether or not the user of the forwarding

destination designated as the terminal of the forwarding destination is logged in the instant

message server; and

transmitting the instant message stored in the means for storing from the

communication device to the terminal of the forwarding destination when the user of the

forwarding destination logs into the instant message server.

- 4 -

Application No.: 10/809,889 Response under 37 CFR §1.116

Art Unit: 2617 Attorney Docket No.: 042089

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Li, at best, suggests that the server device

106 may perform a similar feature as the communication device in claim 13, Li simply fails to

include an IM server in its peer to peer network. As such, it is respectfully submitted that Li fails

to disclose the following features set forth in claim 13:

detecting by a terminal of a forwarder, whether or not a user of a terminal of a

forwarding destination is logged in an instant message server;

transmitting an instant message including identification information of the terminal of the

forwarding destination from the terminal of the forwarder to a communication device when the

user of the forwarding destination is not logged in the instant message server; ...

detecting by the communication device, whether or not the user of the forwarding

destination designated as the terminal of the forwarding destination is logged in the instant

message server; and

transmitting the instant message stored in the means for storing from the communication

device to the terminal of the forwarding destination when the user of the forwarding destination

logs into the instant message server,

As such, it is respectfully submitted that Li fails to anticipate the features of claim 13,

since Li fails to disclose or fairly suggest each and every feature of claim 13.

In view of the above remarks, Applicant submits that the claims are in condition for

allowance. Applicant requests such action at an early date.

- 5 -

Art Unit: 2617

Response under 37 CFR §1.116

Attorney Docket No.: 042089

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Thomas E. Brown

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 44,450

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

TEB/nrp